Photoemission spectra and optical response of many-polaron systems

G. Wellein¹, A. R. Bishop², M. Hohenadler^{1,3}, W. von der Linden³, D. Neuber³, J. Loos⁴, A. Alvermann⁵, G. Schubert⁵, and H. Fehske⁵



University Erlangen¹ (Germany), TU Graz³ (Austria), University Greifswald⁵ (Germany) Los Alamos National Laboratory² (USA), Czech Academy of Sciences Prague⁴ (Czech Rep.)



- Optical measurements have proven the importance of electron-phonon (EP) coupling and even polaron effects in important classes of materials, including quasi-1d MX chains, quasi-2d cuprate superconductors, and 3d colossal magnetoresistance manganites.
- In all these systems, a noticeable density of (polaronic) charge carriers is observed, which puts the applicability of single-polaron theories into question, particularly in the often realized case of intermediate EP couplings and phonon frequencies.
- Questions: What happens to polarons if their density is large enough so that individual quasiparticles (QP) would overlap? Is there a density-driven crossover from (large) polarons to weakly dressed electrons?
- <u>Problem:</u> Even for the most simplified models no reliable analytical results exist for finite carrier densities in the intermediate EP coupling regime!

- High-resolution applications polarons!
- Uniform reconstruction of spectra gap features)!
- CPU-time ($\propto MD$); trace average over random $|r\rangle$.

Cluster Perturbation Theory (CPT) [5]

• We have: Green function $G_{mn}^{c}(\omega)$ on finite cluster(s) of N_{c} sites (OBC) !

• 1st order perturbation in $V = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{t}{t} - \right]$

 $G_{ii}^{(1)}(\omega) = G_{ij}^{c}(\omega) + \sum G_{ir}^{c}(\omega) V_{rs} G_{sj}^{(1)}(\omega)$

Strong coupling

 $\lambda = 2.0, \alpha = 0.4 - QMC$ results for $N = 32, \beta t = 8$

single-particle spectral function (QMC)





Los Alamos



• $n \nearrow$: small (polaronic) DOS at $E_{\rm F}$ ($\propto e^{-g^2}$) \rightarrow "metallic" DOS at $E_{\rm F}$ ("polaron dissociation") \rightarrow pseudo-gap - precursor of CDW (\exists for $\lambda > \lambda_c(\omega_0)$)

Model

1D spinless fermion Holstein model:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j - g \,\omega_0 \sum_i (b_i^{\dagger} + b_i) n_i + \omega_0 \sum_i b_i^{\dagger} b_i$$

Parameter ratios:

 $g^2 = \varepsilon_p / \omega_0$; $\lambda = \varepsilon_p / 2t$ $\alpha = \omega_0 / t$; $n = N_e / N$

Known results:

- For a single particle a transition from a large polaron to a small polaron takes place with increasing EP coupling strength (at least in 1D).
- In the intermediate coupling regime $\lambda \simeq 1$, $g^2 \simeq 1$, the size of the polaron is strongly dependent on the phonon frequency:

 $\alpha \ll 1$: rather extended distortion

- $\alpha \gg 1$: localised distortion.
- Focusing on the intermediate coupling adiabatic regime we expect strong density-effects due to a possible overlap of phonon clouds (of course, in the U→∞ limit, bipolaron formation is suppressed)!

Methods



• Fourier transform:

$$G^{\text{CPT}}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N_c} G_{mn}^{(1)}(K,\omega) e^{-ik(m-n)}$$

Observables

• Single-particle spectral function:

 $A_{k}^{\pm}(\omega) = \sum_{m} |\langle m^{(N_{el}\pm1)} | c_{k}^{\pm} | 0^{(N_{el})} \rangle|^{2} \\ \times \delta[\omega \mp (E_{m}^{(N_{el}\pm1)} - E_{0}^{(N_{el})})]$

with $c_k^+ = c_k^\dagger$, $c_k^- = c_k$.

 $A_k^-(\omega)$ [$A_k^+(\omega)$] is related to the [inverse (I)] photoemission (PE) of an electron.

Note that $A_k(\omega - \mu) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}G(k, \omega - \mu)$, where the imaginary-time Green function $G(k, \tau)$ can be directly measured by QMC.

• Partial densities of states (DOS):

 $\rho^{\pm}(\omega) = \sum_{k} A_{k}^{\pm}(\omega) \,.$

• Optical conductivity, $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(\omega) = D\delta(\omega) + \sigma^{\operatorname{reg}}(\omega)$,



exponentially small spectral weight at µ ∀n
QP band - "gap" - broad incoherent feature

 \hookrightarrow small "polaronic" QPs!

Intermediate coupling

 $\lambda = 1.0, \alpha = 0.4, N = 10$ (ED) and $N = 10, \beta t = 8$ (QMC)

single-particle spectral function (ED)



 \hookrightarrow crossover from polaronic to metallic behaviour!

partial DOS & optical response (ED)

 $\lambda = 1.0, \alpha = 0.4, \text{ i.e., } g^2 = 5, N = 10$



For comparison, the analytical strong coupling result [6]



is included ($\sigma_0 = 8$) – noticable deviations!.

Anti-adiabatic (strong-coupling) regime

Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) [1,2]

- Grand-canonical approach, free of autocorrelations. • Finite-temperature Trotter discretization ($\Delta \tau = 0.1$).
- Lang-Firsov transformed model \rightarrow moderate sign problem [which is most pronounced for intermediate λ and small α at low temperatures T ($\beta = 1/k_{\rm B}T$)].
- Maximum entropy method to get dynamic quantities.

Exact diagonalization (ED) [3]

- Lanczos technique $H^D \to T^L$ (Krylov subspaces): Fast convergence for extremal eigenvalues E_0 , $|\psi_0\rangle$ $(D \leq 10^{11}, L = 100 \rightsquigarrow \Delta E_0 \leq 10^{-9})!$
- Phonons? $D = \infty! \sim$ Controlled Hilbert space truncation combined with a density-matrix based phonon basis optimisation procedure.

Dynamical properties at T=0?

$$A^{\mathcal{O}}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \left\langle \psi_0 \left| \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_0 + i\eta} \mathcal{O} \right| \psi_0 \right\rangle \right.$$
$$= \sum_{n} |\langle \psi_n | \mathcal{O} | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \delta[\omega - (E_n - E_0)]$$

 \hookrightarrow complete spectrum necessary!?

Kernel polynomial method (KPM) [4]

the regular part of which is given by

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{n>0} \frac{|\langle n|\hat{j}|0\rangle|^2}{E_0 - E_n} \,\delta(\omega - (E_0 - E_n))\,,$$

where
$$\hat{j} = iet \sum_{i} (c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1}^{} - c_{i+1}^{\dagger} c_i^{})$$
 (current operator).

Numerical results

Weak coupling

$\lambda = 0.1, \alpha = 0.4 - QMC$ results for $N = 32, \beta t = 8$

single-particle spectral function (QMC)





integrated spectral weight (QMC vs. ED)



$\lambda = 2.0, \alpha = 4.0, N = 10$ (ED)



Phonon absorption bands with electronic satellites.
Spectra are mainly unchanged going from n = 0.1 [green/blue] to n = 0.3 [red/black] (i.e., ther are al-

most no finite-density effects).

 \hookrightarrow Carriers are small polarons also at large fillings!

<u>Conclusions</u>

In the physically most important adiabatic intermediate electron-phonon coupling regime, for which no analytical results are available, we observe a dissociation of polarons with increasing band filling, leading to normal metallic behaviour, while for parameters favouring small polarons, no such density-driven changes occur. The present work points towards the inadequacy of single-polaron theories for a number of polaronic materials such as the manganites.

• Expansion of $\delta[\ldots]$ using Chebyshev polynomials

 $A^{\mathcal{O}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left(\mu_0^{\mathcal{O}} + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu_m^{\mathcal{O}} T_m(x) \right)$

- Determination of moments
 µ^O_m = ∫¹₋₁ dx T_m(x)A^O(x) = ⟨ψ₀|O[†]T_m(X)O|ψ₀⟩
 by iterative MVM (X = (H − b)/a → E_n ∈ [−1, 1])

 Problem: M < ∞! ~ Gibbs oscillations ~ truncation errors! Solution: Damping factors (e.g., Jackson or Lorentz kernels)!
- (FFT) Reconstruction of $A^{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ from the M calculated moments via linear approximation (KPM) or nonlinear optimisation procedure (MEM).

Advantages of KPM:





• pronounced QP peak $\forall n$ • gap feature develops for $n \to 0.5 \Leftrightarrow \text{CDW}$

 \hookrightarrow dressed "electronic" QPs!

- n = 0.1: Fermi level lies within the polaron band; band flattening at large wave-vectors k.
- Incoherent part of the spectrum closely follows the free electron (cosine) dispersion.
- Polaron band merges with incoherent excitations at about $n = 0.3 \rightarrow$ no clear separation of the QP peak!

→ density-driven change of the "character" of the charge carriers!

 [1] Hohenadler, Evertz, von der Linden, Phys. Rev. B 69, 024301 (2004).
 [2] Hohenadler et al., Phys. Rev. B 71, 245111 (2005).
 [3] Bäuml, Wellein, Fehske, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3663 (1998); Weiße et al., Phys. Rev. B 62, R747 (2000).
 [4] Weiße et al., cond-mat/0504627 (review).
 [5] Sénéchal, Perez, Plouffe, Phys. Rev. B 66, 075129 (2002); Hohenadler, Aichhorn, von der Linden, Phys. Rev. B 68, 184304 (2003).
 [6] D. Emin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 13691 (1993).